arXiv:1109.5929v4 [math.DG] 7 Mar 2012

HODGE SYMMETRY AND DECOMPOSITION ON NON-KÄHLER SOLVMANIFOLDS

HISASHI KASUYA

Abstract. Let $G=\mathbb{C}^n\ltimes_\phi\mathbb{C}^m$ with a semi-simple action $\phi:\mathbb{C}^n\to GL_m(\mathbb{C})$ (not necessarilly holomorphic). Suppose G has a lattice Γ. Then we show that in some conditions on G and Γ, G/Γ admits a Hermittian metric such that the space of harmonic forms satisfies the Hodge symmetry and decomposition. Moreover such G/Γ is strictly formal and the dd^c-lemma holds. We also show that if G/Γ admits a pseudo-Kähler structure then G/Γ has the hard Lefschetz complex type. We also show that in some conditions on G and Γ, G/Γ admits a versal deformation with smooth base $(H^1(M,T^{1,0}),0)$. By these results we give examples of non-Kähler complex solvmanifolds satisfying Dolbeault-cohomological properties of compact Kähler manifolds.

1. Introduction

Let M be a complex manifold with a Hermittian metric g. We consider the complex-valued de Rham complex $(A^*(M),d)$, the Dolbeault complex $(A^{*,*}(M),\bar\partial)$, the space $\mathcal{H}^*_d(M,g)$ of complex-valued d-harmonic forms and the space $\mathcal{H}^{*,*}_{\bar\partial}(M,g)$ of complex-valued $\bar\partial$-harmonic forms. For the de Rham cohomology $H^*(M)$ and Dolbeault cohomology $H^{*,*}(M)$, we have isomorphisms $H^*(M)\cong\mathcal{H}^*_d(M,g)$ and $H^{*,*}(M)\cong\mathcal{H}^{*,*}_{\bar\partial}(M,g)$ of linear spaces. In general $\mathcal{H}^*_d(M,g)$ and $\mathcal{H}^{*,*}_{\bar\partial}(M,g)$ are not closed under the wedge product.

Let G be a Lie group as in the following assumption.

Assumption 1.1. • *$G=\mathbb{C}^n\ltimes_\phi\mathbb{C}^m$ with a semi-simple action $\phi:\mathbb{C}^n\to GL_m(\mathbb{C})$ (not necessarily holomorphic). Then we have a coordinate $z_1,\dots,z_n,w_1,\dots,w_m$ of $\mathbb{C}^n\ltimes_\phi\mathbb{C}^m$ such that*

$$\phi(z_1,\dots,z_n)(w_1,\dots,w_m)=(\alpha_1w_1,\dots,\alpha_mw_m)$$

where α_i are C^∞-characters of $\mathbb{C}^n$.
• *G has a lattice Γ. Then a lattice Γ of $G=\mathbb{C}^n\ltimes_\phi\mathbb{C}^m$ is the form $\Gamma'\ltimes_\phi\Gamma''$ such that Γ' and Γ'' are lattices of $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $\mathbb{C}^m$ respectively and the action ϕ of Γ' preserves Γ''.*

Lemma 1.2. ([10]) *There exist the unique unitary characters β_i and γ_i on $\mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\alpha_i\beta_i^{-1}$ and $\bar\alpha\gamma_i^{-1}$ are holomorphic.*

We consider the following conditions:

Condition 1.3. *($\bigstar_1$) The characters α_i are real-valued (i. e. G is completely solvable).*
($\bigstar_2$) For multi-indices $K,L\subset\{1,\dots,m\}$, $R_\Gamma(\beta_K\gamma_L)=\mathbf{1}$ if and only if $\alpha_K\bar\alpha_L=\mathbf{1}$ where R_Γ is the restriction on Γ and $\mathbf{1}$ is the trivial character.
($\bigstar_3$) For multi-index $L\subset\{1,\dots,m\}$ and a index $k\in\{1,\dots,m\}$, $R_\Gamma(\gamma_L)=R_\Gamma(\beta_k)$ if and only if $\bar\alpha_L=\alpha_k$.

Theorem 1.4. *Let G be a Lie group as in Assumption 1.1. Consider the left-invariant Hermittian metric $g=\sum dz_id\bar z_i+\sum\alpha_i^{-1}\bar\alpha_i^{-1}dw_id\bar w_i$. Then the space $\mathcal{H}^{*,*}_{\bar\partial}(G/\Gamma)$ of complex-valued $\bar\partial$-harmonic forms satisfies the following conditions:*

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 22E25, 53C30,53C55.

Key words and phrases. Dolbeault cohomology, solvmanifold, Pseudo-Kähler manifold, formality, coeffective Dolbeault cohomology.

(1) $\mathcal{H}^{*,*}_{\bar\partial}(G/\Gamma, g)$ *is closed under the wedge product.*
(2) If the condition ($\bigstar_1$) *holds, then* $\bar{\mathcal{H}}^{p,q}_{\bar\partial}(G/\Gamma, g) = \mathcal{H}^{q,p}_{\bar\partial}(G/\Gamma, g)$.
(3) If the condition ($\bigstar_2$) *holds, then we have* $\mathcal{H}^{r}_{d}(G/\Gamma, g) = \bigoplus_{p+q=r} \mathcal{H}^{p,q}_{\bar\partial}(G/\Gamma, g)$.

Corollary 1.5. *Let G be a Lie group as in Assumption 1.1. If the conditions* ($\bigstar_1$) *and* ($\bigstar_2$) *hold, then the filtration* $F^pA^k(G/\Gamma) = \bigoplus_{r\ge p, r+s=k} A^{r,s}(G/\Gamma)$ *induces a Hodge structure of weight k on* $H^k(G/\Gamma, \mathbb{Q})$

We consider the differential operator $d^c = \sqrt{-1}(\partial - \bar\partial)$. *If the condition* $\mathrm{Im}(d) \cap \mathrm{Ker}(d^c) = \mathrm{Im}(d^c) \cap \mathrm{Ker}(d) = \mathrm{Im}(dd^c)$ *holds then we say that the dd^c-lemma holds. By* [2, (5.21) (Special case of Proposition (5.17))], *if the conditions* ($\bigstar_1$) *and* ($\bigstar_2$) *hold, then the dd^c-lemma holds.*

By the (1) in this theorem, we have an isomorphism $H^{*,*}(G/\Gamma) \cong \mathcal{H}^{*,*}_{\bar\partial}(G/\Gamma, g)$ of bigraded algebras the quasi-isomorphism $\mathcal{H}^{*,*}_{\bar\partial}(G/\Gamma, g) \to A^{*,*}(G/\Gamma)$ of differential bigraded algebras. Hence we have:

Corollary 1.6. *Let G be a Lie group as in Assumption 1.1. Then G/Γ is Dolbeault formal. Moreover if the condition* ($\bigstar_2$) *holds, then G/Γ is strictly formal.*

Dolbeault formality and strictly formality are considered in [12].

We also consider hard Lefschetz complex type of pseudo-Kähler manifolds.

Theorem 1.7. *Suppose G/Γ admits a pseudo-Kähler structure ω. Then $(G/\Gamma, \omega)$ has hard Lefschetz complex type (i.e. for each p, q with $p + q < n + m$, the linear map*

$$[\omega]^{n+m-p-q}\wedge : H^{p,q}(G/\Gamma) \to H^{n+m-q,n+m-p}(G/\Gamma)$$

is an isomorphism).

Remark 1. In case of a Nilmanifold, the dd^c-lemma holds if and only if a nilmanifold is a torus (see [6]). Nilmanifolds with nilpotent complex structures are formal or have hard Lefschetz complex type if and only if they are tori (see [3]).

We also consider the space $A^{0,*}(G/\Gamma, T^{1,0})$ of the differential forms on the holomorphic tangent bundle $T^{1,0}$ as a DGLA (differential graded Lie algebra) (see [5] and [11]).

Theorem 1.8. *Let $G = \mathbb{C}^n \ltimes_\phi \mathbb{C}^m$ with a semi-simple action $\phi : \mathbb{C}^n \to GL_m(\mathbb{C})$ (not necessarily holomorphic). Suppose G has a lattice Γ. Then the DGLA $A^{0,*}(G/\Gamma, T^{1,0})$ is formal. Moreover if the condition* ($\bigstar_3$) *holds, then $H^{0,*}(G/\Gamma, T^{1,0})$ is abelian.*

By using homotopy theory of the DGLA $A^{0,*}(G/\Gamma, T^{1,0})$, we can study the deformation theory of G/Γ. By Theorem 1.8, we have the following corollary (see [5] and [11]).

Corollary 1.9. *In the same assumptions in Theorem 1.4, the parameter space of the versal deformation (the Kuranishi space) of G/Γ is given by*

$$\{\eta \in H^1(G/\Gamma, T^{1,0}) | [\eta, \eta] = 0\}.$$

Moreover if the condition ($\bigstar_3$) *holds, then G/Γ admits a versal deformation with smooth base* $(H^1(M, T^{1,0}), 0)$.

Remark 2. In [8], Hasegawa showed that a simply connected solvable Lie group G with a lattice Γ such that G/Γ admits a Kähler structure can be written as $G = \mathbb{R}^{2k} \ltimes_\phi \mathbb{C}^l$ such that

$$\phi(t_j)((z_1, \dots, z_l)) = (e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta^j_1 t_j} z_1, \dots, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta^j_l t_j} z_l),$$

where each $e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta^j_i}$ is a root of unity. Hence for $G = \mathbb{C}^n \ltimes_\phi \mathbb{C}^m$ with a semi-simple action $\phi : \mathbb{C}^n \to GL_m(\mathbb{C})$, a compact solvmanifold G/Γ admits no Kähler metric if ϕ has a non-unitary eigencharacter. In particular if ϕ is non-trivial and satisfies the condition ($\bigstar_1$), then G/Γ admits no Kähler metric.

By this remark and above theorems, we give examples of non-Kähler solvmanifolds G/Γ admiting the Hodge symmetry and decomposition, strictly formality, hard Lefschetz complex type and a versal deformation with smooth base $(H^1(M, T^{1,0}), 0)$.

2. Dolbeault cohomology of solvmanifolds

Let G be a Lie group as in Assumption 1.1 and $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra of G. In this section, in order to compute the Dolbeault cohomology of G/Γ, we will construct of finite dimensional cochain complex by using the result in [10]. Then the set

$$dz_1, \dots, dz_n, \alpha_1^{-1}dw_1, \dots, \alpha_m^{-1}dw_m$$

of $\mathbb{C}$-valued differential forms is a basis of the space $\bigwedge \mathfrak{g}^{1,0}$ of the left-invariant $(1,0)$-differential forms. Hence we have

$$\bigwedge^{p,q} \mathfrak{g}^* = \bigwedge^{p} \langle dz_1, \dots, dz_n, \alpha_1^{-1}dw_1, \dots, \alpha_m^{-1}dw_m \rangle \bigotimes \bigwedge^{q} \langle d\bar{z}_1, \dots, d\bar{z}_n, \bar{\alpha}_1^{-1}d\bar{w}_1, \dots, \bar{\alpha}_m^{-1}d\bar{w}_m \rangle.$$

Let $\beta : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^*$ be a unitary character of $\mathbb{C}^n$. We consider β as a character of G by the pullback of the projection $G = \mathbb{C}^n \ltimes_\phi \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathbb{C}^n$. We have the holomorphic line bundle $L_\beta = (G \times \mathbb{C}_\beta)/\Gamma$ over G/Γ. We consider the Dolbeault complex $(A^{*,*}(G/\Gamma, L_\beta), \bar\partial)$ with values in the line bundle L_β. For a flame $\{v_\beta\}$ of $G \times \mathbb{C}_\beta$, $\{\beta^{-1}v_\beta\}$ is a flame of the complex line bundle L_β such that

$$A^{*,*}(G/\Gamma, L_\beta) = A^{*,*}(G/\Gamma) \otimes \langle \beta^{-1}v_\beta \rangle$$

with the Dolbeault operator $\bar\partial$. We consider the direct sum

$$\bigoplus_{L_\beta \in \mathcal{L}} A^{*,*}(G/\Gamma, L_\beta)$$

of Dolbeault complexes on line bundles where $\mathcal{L} = \{L_\beta\}$ is the set of isomorphism classes of line bundles given by unitary characters of $\mathbb{C}^n$.

Let β_j, γ_j be the characters of $\mathbb{C}^n$ as in Lemma 1.2. We define

$$\begin{aligned} A^{p,q} = \bigwedge^{p} \langle dz_1, \dots, dz_n, \alpha_1^{-1}dw_1 \otimes (\beta_1 v_{\beta_1^{-1}}), \dots, \alpha_m^{-1}dw_m \otimes (\beta_m v_{\beta_m^{-1}}) \rangle \\ \bigotimes \bigwedge^{q} \langle d\bar{z}_1, \dots, d\bar{z}_n, \bar{\alpha}_1^{-1}d\bar{w}_1 \otimes (\gamma_1 v_{\gamma_1^{-1}}), \dots, \bar{\alpha}_m^{-1}d\bar{w}_m \otimes (\gamma_m v_{\gamma_m^{-1}}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha_i^{-1}\beta_i$ and $\bar{\alpha}_i^{-1}\gamma_i$ are holomorphic, the Dolbeault operator $\bar\partial$ on $A^{*,*}$ is 0 and hence $A^{*,*}$ is a subcomplex of the direct sum

$$\bigoplus_{L_\beta \in \mathcal{L}} A^{*,*}(G/\Gamma, L_\beta)$$

with the trivial differential. Since $\alpha_i^{-1}\beta_i$ and $\bar{\alpha}_i^{-1}\gamma_i$ are holomorphic, $(A^{*,*}, \bar\partial)$ is isomorphic to $(\bigwedge^{*,*}(\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n})^*, \bar\partial)$.

Theorem 2.1. ([10]) *The inclusion $\Phi : A^{*,*} \to \bigoplus_{L_\beta \in \mathcal{L}} A^{*,*}(G/\Gamma, L_\beta)$ induces a cohomology isomorphism.*

Consider the subcomplex $B^{p,q}_\beta \subset A^{p,q}$

$$B^{p,q}_\beta = \bigoplus_{\substack{|I|+|K|=p, \\ |J|+|L|=q, \\ R_\Gamma(\beta_K \gamma_L) = R_\Gamma(\beta^{-1})}} \langle dz_I \wedge d\bar{z}_J \wedge \alpha_K^{-1} dw_K \otimes (\beta_K v_{\beta_K^{-1}}) \wedge \bar{\alpha}_L^{-1} dw_L \otimes (\gamma_L v_{\gamma_L^{-1}}) \rangle.$$

where I, J, K, L are multi-indices. Then we have $B^{*,*}_\beta = \Phi^{-1}(A^{*,*}_{\mathbb{C}}(G/\Gamma, L_\beta)$. Hence the inclusion $\Phi : B^{*,*}_\beta \to A^{*,*}_{\mathbb{C}}(G/\Gamma, L_\beta)$ induces a cohomology isomorphism. If β is trivial, we write $B^{*,*}$ as $B^{*,*}_\beta$. The inclusion $\Phi : B^{*,*} \to A^{*,*}(G/\Gamma)$ induces a cohomology isomorphism.

3. Proofs of theorems

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Consider the left-invariant Hermittian metric

$$g = \sum dz_i \bar{z}_i + \sum \alpha_i^{-1} \bar{\alpha}_i^{-1} dw_i d\bar{w}_i$$

for the above basis $z_1, \dots, z_n, \alpha_1^{-1}dw_1, \dots \alpha_m^{-1}dw_m$ of $\mathfrak{g}^{1,0}$. Let

$$\bar{*}_g A^{p,q}(G/\Gamma) \to A^{n+m-p,n+m-q}(G/\Gamma)$$

be the $\mathbb{C}$-anti-linear Hodge star operator of g on $A^{*,*}(G/\Gamma)$. Then we have $\bar{*}_g(B^{p,q}) \subset B^{p,q}$ (see [10]). Since the Dolbeault operator on $A^{p,q}$ is 0 and the inclusion $\Phi : B^{*,*} \to A^{*,*}(G/\Gamma)$ induces a cohomology isomorphism, we have

$$B^{*,*} = \mathcal{H}_{\bar{\partial}}^{*,*}(G/\Gamma, g).$$

Since $B^{*,*}$ is closed under the wedge product, we have (1) in Theorem 1.4.

We assume the condition ($\bigstar_1$). Then by $\bar{\alpha}_i = \alpha_i$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\bigwedge^{p,q} \mathfrak{g}^* \\ &= \bigwedge^{p} \langle dz_1, \dots, dz_n, \alpha_1^{-1}dw_1, \dots, \alpha_m^{-1}dw_m \rangle \otimes \bigwedge^{q} \langle d\bar{z}_1, \dots, d\bar{z}_n, \alpha_1^{-1}d\bar{w}_1, \dots, \alpha_m^{-1}d\bar{w}_m \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$B^{p,q} = \bigoplus_{\substack{|I|+|K|=p,\\ |J|+|L|=q,\\ R_\Gamma(\beta_K\beta_L)=1}} \langle dz_I \wedge d\bar{x}_J \wedge \alpha_K^{-1}\beta_K dw_K \wedge \alpha_L^{-1}\beta_L d\bar{w}_L \rangle.$$

Hence we have

$$\bar{B}^{p,q} = \bigoplus_{\substack{|I|+|K|=p,\\ |J|+|L|=q,\\ R_\Gamma(\beta_K\beta_L)=1}} \langle d\bar{z}_I \wedge dx_J \wedge \alpha_K^{-1}\beta_K d\bar{w}_K \wedge \alpha_L^{-1}\beta_L dw_L \rangle = B^{q,p}$$

and thus (2) in Theorem 1.4 follows.

We assume the condition ($\bigstar_2$). Then we have

$$B^{p,q} = \bigoplus_{\substack{|I|+|K|=p,\\ |J|+|L|=q,\\ \alpha_K\alpha_L=\mathbf{1}}} \langle dz_I \wedge d\bar{x}_J \wedge dw_K \wedge d\bar{w}_L \rangle.$$

In this case, for the metric$g$ as above, all the elements of $B^{*,*}$ are also d-harmonic. Thus we have

$$\bigoplus_{p+q=r} \mathcal{H}_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q}(G/\Gamma, g) = \bigoplus_{p+q=r} B^{p,q} \subset \mathcal{H}_d^r(G/\Gamma, g).$$

This implies that the Frölicher spectral sequence degenerates at E_1. Hence we have

$$\bigoplus_{p+q=r} \mathcal{H}_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q}(G/\Gamma, g) = \bigoplus_{p+q=r} B^{p,q} = \mathcal{H}_d^r(G/\Gamma, g)$$

and thus (3) in Theorem 1.4 follows.

□

Proofs of Theorem 1.7. Since the Dolbeault operator on $B^{p,q}$ is 0, we have an isomorphism $B^{p,q} \cong H^{p,q}(G/\Gamma)$. We have $\omega_0 \in B^{1,1}$ which is $\bar{\partial}$-cohomologous to ω. Since we have $\omega_0^{n+m} \neq 0$ and $B^{*,*} \subset \bigwedge^{*,*}(\mathbb{C}^n \oplus \mathbb{C}^m)$, ω_0 is a non-degenerate $(1,1)$-form on the vector space $\mathbb{C}^n \oplus \mathbb{C}^m$. By linear algebra, the linear map

$$(\omega_0 \wedge)^{n+m-p-q} : \bigwedge^{p,q}(\mathbb{C}^n \oplus \mathbb{C}^m) \to \bigwedge^{n-q,n-p}(\mathbb{C}^n \oplus \mathbb{C}^m)$$

is injective. Hence the restriction

$$(\omega_0 \wedge)^{n+m-p-q} : B^{p,q} \to B^{n+m-q,n-p}$$

is also injective and the map

$$[\omega]^{n+m-p-q}\wedge : H^{p,q}(G/\Gamma)\to H^{n+m-q,n+m-p}(G/\Gamma)$$

is so. By the injectivity and Serre's duality, for each p,q we have

$$\dim H^{p,q}(G/\Gamma)\le \dim H^{n-q,n-p}(G/\Gamma)=\dim H^{q,p}(G/\Gamma).$$

Hence we have

$$\dim H^{p,q}(G/\Gamma)=\dim H^{q,p}(G/\Gamma)=\dim H^{n-q,n-p}(G/\Gamma)$$

and the injection

$$[\omega]^{n+m-p-q}\wedge : H^{p,q}(G/\Gamma)\to H^{n+m-q,n+m-p}(G/\Gamma)$$

is an isomorphism. □

Proof of Theorem 1.8. Since we have

$$A^{0,*}(G/\Gamma,T^{1,0})=A^{0,*}(G/\Gamma)\otimes \mathfrak{g}^{1,0},$$

by the correspondence

$$\{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1},\dots ,\frac{\partial}{\partial z_n},\alpha_1\frac{\partial}{\partial w_1},\dots ,\alpha_m\frac{\partial}{\partial w_m}\}\to \{v_{\mathbf{1}},\dots ,v_{\mathbf{1}},\beta_1 v_{\beta_1^{-1}},\dots ,\beta_m v_{\beta_m^{-1}}\},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}&A^{0,*}(G/\Gamma,T^{1,0})\\ &\qquad =A^{0,*}(G/\Gamma)\oplus\cdots\oplus A^{0,*}(G/\Gamma)\oplus A^{0,*}(G/\Gamma,L_{\beta_1^{-1}})\oplus\cdots\oplus A^{0,*}(G/\Gamma,L_{\beta_m^{-1}}).\end{aligned}$$

By the constructions of $B^{0,*}_{\beta_k^{-1}}$, we consider the subcomplex

$$C^{0,*}_k=\bigoplus_{\substack{I\subset\{1,\dots,n\},\,J\subset\{1,\dots,m\}\\ \text{s.t. } R_\Gamma(\gamma_L)=\mathbf{1}}}\langle \bar\alpha_L^{-1}\gamma_L(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_k})d\bar z_J\wedge d\bar w_L\rangle$$

of $A^{0,*}(G/\Gamma)\otimes\langle\frac{\partial}{\partial z_k}\rangle$ and the subcomplex

$$D^{0,*}_k=\bigoplus_{\substack{J\subset\{1,\dots,n\},\,L\subset\{1,\dots,m\}\\ \text{s.t. } R_\Gamma(\gamma_L)=R_\Gamma(\beta_k)}}\langle \bar\alpha_L^{-1}\gamma_L\beta_k^{-1}(\alpha_k\frac{\partial}{\partial w_k})d\bar z_J\wedge d\bar w_J\rangle$$

of $A^{0,*}(G/\Gamma)\otimes\langle\alpha_k\frac{\partial}{\partial w_k}\rangle$. Then the inclusion

$$C^{0,*}_1\oplus\dots C^{0,*}_n\oplus D^{0,*}_1\oplus\cdots\oplus D^{0,*}_m\to A^{0,*}(G/\Gamma,T^{1,0})$$

induces a cohomology isomorphism. Since $\bar\alpha_L^{-1}\gamma_L$ and $\beta_k^{-1}\alpha_k$ are holomorphic, the Dolbeault operator on $C^{0,*}_1\oplus\dots C^{0,*}_n\oplus D^{0,*}_1\oplus\cdots\oplus D^{0,*}_m$ is 0. For each $J,J'\subset\{1,\dots,n\}$, $L,L'\subset\{1,\dots,m\}$ and $k,k'\in\{1,\dots,m\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\left[\bar\alpha_L^{-1}\gamma_L(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_k})d\bar z_J\wedge d\bar w_L,\bar\alpha_{L'}^{-1}\gamma_{L'}\beta_{k'}^{-1}(\alpha_{k'}\frac{\partial}{\partial w_{k'}})d\bar z_{J'}\wedge d\bar w_{L'}\right]\\ &\qquad =A_{(J',k,k')}\alpha_J^{-1}\gamma_J\bar\alpha_{J'}^{-1}\gamma_{J'}\beta_{k'}^{-1}(\alpha_{k'}\frac{\partial}{\partial w_{k'}})d\bar z_I\wedge d\bar z_{I'}\wedge d\bar w_J\wedge d\bar w_{J'}\\ &\qquad =\begin{cases} A_{(L',k,k')}\alpha_{J\cup J'}^{-1}\gamma_{L\cup L'}\beta_{k'}^{-1}(\alpha_{k'}\frac{\partial}{\partial w_{k'}})d\bar z_{J\cup J'}\wedge d\bar w_{L\cup L'}\ (J\cap J'=L\cap L'=\emptyset)\\ 0\ (J\cap J'\neq\emptyset \text{ or } L\cap L'\neq\emptyset)\end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

for some constant $A_{(L',k,k')}$, since $\alpha_i,\beta_j,\gamma_i$ are characters on $\mathbb{C}^n$. If $R_\Gamma(\beta_J)=\mathbf{1}$ and $R_\Gamma(\gamma_{J'})=R_\Gamma(\beta_{k'})$, then we have $R_\Gamma(\gamma_{J\cup J'})=R_\Gamma(\beta_{k'})$. Hence we have $[C^{0,*}_k,D^{0,*}_{k'}]\subset D^{0,*}_{k'}$ and so $C^{0,*}_1\oplus\dots C^{0,*}_n\oplus D^{0,*}_1\oplus\cdots\oplus D^{0,*}_m$ is a subDGLA of $A^{0,*}(G/\Gamma,T^{1,0})$. Hence the DGLA $A^{0,*}(G/\Gamma,T^{1,0})$ is formal.

We assume the condition ($\bigstar_3$). Then we have

$$B^{0,q}_{\beta_k^{-1}}=\bigoplus_{\substack{|J|+|L|=q,\\ \bar{\alpha}_L=\alpha_k}}\langle d\bar{x}_J\wedge\bar{\alpha}_L^{-1}d\bar{w}_L\otimes(\beta_k v_{\beta_k^{-1}})\rangle.$$

Hence the subcomplex $D^{0,*}_k$ is given by

$$D^{0,*}_k=\bigoplus_{\substack{|J|+|L|=q,\\ \bar{\alpha}_L=\alpha_k}}\langle\frac{\partial}{\partial w_k}d\bar{x}_J\wedge d\bar{w}_L\rangle.$$

This implies that the subDGLA $C^{0,*}_1\oplus\dots C^{0,*}_n\oplus D^{0,*}_1\oplus\dots\oplus D^{0,*}_m$ is abelian and hence $H^{0,*}(G/\Gamma,T^{1,0})$ is abelian. $\square$

4. Examples

Example 1. Let $G=\mathbb{C}\ltimes_\phi\mathbb{C}^{2m}$ such that

$$\phi(x+\sqrt{-1}y)(w_1,w_2\dots,w_{2m-1},w_{2m})\\ =(e^{a_1x}w_1,e^{-a_1x}w_2,\dots,e^{a_mx}w_{2m-1},e^{-a_mx}w_{2m})$$

for integers $a_i\neq 0$. Then we have a left-invariant pseudo-Kähler structure

$$\omega=\sqrt{-1}dz\wedge d\bar{z}+\sum_{i=1}^{m}(dw_{2i-1}\wedge d\bar{w}_{2i}+d\bar{w}_{2i-1}\wedge dw_{2i}).$$

We have $\beta_{2i-1}=e^{-2a_i\pi\sqrt{-1}y}$, $\beta_{2i}=e^{2a_i\pi\sqrt{-1}y}$. We can write $G=\mathbb{R}\times(\mathbb{R}\ltimes_\phi\mathbb{C}^{2m})$. G has a lattice $\Gamma=t\mathbb{Z}\times\Delta$ where Δ is a lattice $\mathbb{R}\ltimes_\phi\mathbb{C}^{2m}$ for $t>0$. Then $B^{p,q}$ varies for the choice $t>0$. Consider the case $t\neq\frac{r}{s}\pi$ for any $r,s\in\mathbb{Z}$. Then $R_\Gamma(\beta_K\beta_L^{\pm1})\neq 1$ for any multi-indices K,L such that $\alpha_K\alpha_L^{\pm1}\neq 1$. Hence the conditions ($\bigstar_2$) and ($\bigstar_3$) hold.

Example 2. Let $G=\mathbb{C}\ltimes_\phi\mathbb{C}^2$ such that

$$\phi(x+\sqrt{-1}y)=\begin{pmatrix} e^{x+\sqrt{-1}y} & 0\\ 0 & e^{-x-\sqrt{-1}y}\end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we have $a+\sqrt{-1}b, c+\sqrt{-1}d\in\mathbb{C}$ such that $\mathbb{Z}(a+\sqrt{-1}b)+\mathbb{Z}(c+\sqrt{-1}d)$ is a lattice in $\mathbb{C}$ and $\phi(a+\sqrt{-1}b)$ and $\phi(c+\sqrt{-1}d)$ are conjugate to elements of $SL(4,\mathbb{Z})$ where we regard $SL(2,\mathbb{C})\subset SL(4,\mathbb{R})$ (see [7]). Hence we have a lattice $\Gamma=(\mathbb{Z}(a+\sqrt{-1}b)+\mathbb{Z}(c+\sqrt{-1}d))\ltimes_\phi\Gamma''$ such that Γ'' is a lattice of $\mathbb{C}^2$. Then the condition ($\bigstar_1$) does not holds. We have $\beta_1=\beta_2=\mathbf{1}$ and $\gamma_1=e^{-2\sqrt{-1}y}$, $\gamma_2=e^{2\sqrt{-1}y}$. If $b\notin\pi\mathbb{Z}$ or $c\notin\pi\mathbb{Z}$, then the conditions ($\bigstar_1$) and ($\bigstar_2$) hold and hence we have $\bar{\mathcal{H}}^{p,q}_{\bar\partial}(G/\Gamma,g)=\mathcal{H}^{q,p}_{\bar\partial}(G/\Gamma)$ but we have $\dim H^{1,0}(G/\Gamma)\neq\dim H^{0,1}(G/\Gamma)$ (see [10]).

Example 3. Let $H=\mathbb{R}^n\ltimes_\psi\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ such that

$$\psi(x_1,\dots,x_n)(y_1,\dots,y_n,y_{n+1})=(e^{x_1}y_1,\dots e^{x_n}y_n,e^{-x_1-\dots-x_n}y_{n+1}).$$

Then a lattice of H is constructed by a totally real algebraic number field (see [13]). Consider $G=\mathbb{C}^n\ltimes_\phi\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ such that

$$\phi(z_1,\dots,z_n)(w_1,\dots,w_n,w_{n+1})=(e^{x_1}w_1,\dots e^{x_n}w_n,e^{-x_1-\dots-x_n}w_{n+1})$$

for complex coordinate $(z_1=x_1+\sqrt{-1}y_1,\dots,z_1=x_n+\sqrt{-1}y_n,w_1,\dots,w_{n+1})$. The condition ($\bigstar_1$) holds. Since we have $G=\mathbb{R}^n\times(\mathbb{R}^n\ltimes_{\psi\oplus\psi}\mathbb{R}^{2n+2})$, the Lie group G admits a lattice $\Gamma=(c_1\mathbb{Z}\times\dots\times c_n\mathbb{Z})\times\Delta$ where Δ is a lattice of $\mathbb{R}^n\ltimes_{\psi\oplus\psi}\mathbb{R}^{2n+2}$. In this case for a coordinate $(z_1=x_1+\sqrt{-1}y_1,\dots,z_1=x_n+\sqrt{-1}y_n,w_1,\dots,w_{n+1})$ of $\mathbb{C}^n$, we have $\beta_i=e^{-\sqrt{-1}y}$ for $1\le i\le n$ and $\beta_{n+1}=e^{\sqrt{-1}(y_1+\dots+y_n)}$. Hence if $c_1,\dots,c_n$ are not rational numbers, then the conditions ($\bigstar_2$) and ($\bigstar_3$) hold.

Acknowledgements.
The author would like to express his gratitude to Toshitake Kohno for helpful suggestions and stimulating discussions. This research is supported by JSPS Research Fellowships for Young Scientists.

References

[1] L. A. Cordero, M. Fernández, L. Ugarte, Lefschetz complex conditions for complex manifolds. Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **22** (2002), no. 4, 355–373.

[2] P. Deligne, P. Griffiths, J. Morgan, and D. Sullivan, Real homotopy theory of Kahler manifolds. Invent. Math. **29** (1975), no. 3, 245–274.

[3] L. A. Cordero, M. Fernández, L. Ugarte, Lefschetz complex conditions for complex manifolds. Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **22** (2002), no. 4, 355–373.

[4] Y. Félix, J. Oprea and D. Tanré, Algebraic Models in Geometry, Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics 17, Oxford University Press 2008.

[5] W. M. Goldman, J. J. Millson, The homotopy invariance of the Kuranishi space. Illinois J. Math. **34** (1990), no. 2, 337–367.

[6] K. Hasegawa, Minimal models of nilmanifolds. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **106** (1989), no. 1, 65–71.

[7] K. Hasegawa, Small deformations and non-left-invariant complex structures on six-dimensional compact solvmanifolds. Differential Geom. Appl. **28** (2010), no. 2, 220–227.

[8] K. Hasegawa, A note on compact solvmanifolds with Kähler structures. Osaka J. Math. **43** (2006), no. 1, 131–135.

[9] A. Hattori, Spectral sequence in the de Rham cohomology of fibre bundles. J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. I **8** 1960 289–331 (1960).

[10] H. Kasuya, Techniques of computations of Dolbeault cohomology of solvmanifolds. submitted, preprint arXiv:1107.4761

[11] M. Manetti, Lectures on deformations of complex manifolds(Deformation from differential graded viewpoint), Rendiconti di Matematica **24**(2004), 1-183. arXiv.math.AG/0507286

[12] J. Neisendorfer, L. Taylor, Dolbeault homotopy theory. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **245** (1978), 183–210.

[13] N. Tsuchiya, A. Yamakawa, Lattices of some solvable Lie groups and actions of products of affine groups. Tohoku Math. J. (2) **61** (2009), no. 3, 349–364.

(H.kasuya) GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO JAPAN
E-mail address: `khsc@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp`